

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10852 of 1999

Date of Decision:-11.05.2017.

Anant Ram Singla

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Verma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned Annexure P-6 and P-10 relating to promotion of the private respondents to the post of Lecturer in Commerce. Further he has sought for a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to promote him to the post of Lecturer in Commerce from the date of 5th respondent was promoted.

During pendency of this litigation, the official respondents have passed an order on 27.6.2000 (Annexure R-1) promoting petitioner to the post of Lecturer in Commerce w.e.f. 26.9.1988. Learned counsel for the petitioner is disputing the date of promotion i.e. 26.9.1988. In the absence of challenge to the order dated 27.6.2000 (Annexure R-1) or to amend the petition, the petitioner has not taken any steps. Therefore, relief sought in

the present petition has been extended to the petitioner to some extent. If the petitioner is still aggrieved by the date of promotion as 26.9.1988 vide order dated 27.6.2000 (Annexure R-1), he is at liberty to question the same.

Perusal of Annexure R-1, it is evident that the petitioner has been denied the monetary benefits from 1988 to 2000 on the promotion post of Lecturer in Commerce. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to calculate difference of pay from 26.9.1988 to 27.6.2000 and disburse the same within a period of three months from today.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 11, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.