

340

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6198-1993

Date of decision : 15.03.2017

Darshan Singh and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Gurnam Singh and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

This Court vide order dated 21.11.2014 granted the opportunity to the petitioners to effect the service upon respondent Nos.3 & 4 being contesting respondents, as the matter pertains to the partition amongst the petitioners and the private respondents.

Mr. Vikas Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that despite having taken all possible steps to ascertain the address of respondent Nos.3 & 4, the petitioners have not been contacted.

In view of above, it appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter and has realized with the situation as there was no interim stay.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is ordered to be

dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive the same in case something survives.

15.03.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No