

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14725 of 1995

Date of Decision:-12.05.2017.

Rajinder Parshad and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have questioned validity of order of the reversion dated 28.9.1995 (Annexure P-14). The petitioners are stated to have been promoted to the post of Assistant Foreman on 14.10.1992. In the year 1995, respondent-authority realized that such a promotion granted to the petitioners was not in accordance with Rules governing the post of Assistant Foreman (Mechanical). In this regard, the official respondents are stated to have issued a show cause notice and in receipt of reply/explanation was submitted by the petitioners. However, there is no consideration while passing order of reversion dated 28.9.1995. Consequently, order of reversion dated 28.9.1995 (Annexure P-14) is set aside reserving liberty to the official respondents to proceed from the stage of submission of reply.

The competent authority is hereby directed to pass a speaking order while

considering each of the contentions submitted by the petitioners in their reply to the show cause notice. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of three months from today since one of the petitioner has already attained superannuation and retired from service.

Petition stands allowed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 12, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.