

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.10838 of 1998
Date of Decision.24.04.2017**

Nasib Kaur and othersPetitioners

Vs

Financial Commissioner Appeals II Punjab, Chandigarh and others
.....Respondents

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Akaant Kumar Mitttal, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

As per office report, petitioner Nos.2, 3, 4 and 6 have died and petitioner Nos.1 and 5 have refused to take notice. The writ petition is of the year 1998 and this Court, while admitting the matter on 16.01.2001, granted status quo regarding possession.

In view of the refusal to take notice and the fact that the petitioners have taken brief from the counsel appearing for them, it is clear that petitioners, particularly, petitioner Nos.1 and 5 are not interested in pursuing the matter.

The writ petition is dismissed for default of appearance and non-prosecution.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

April 24, 2017
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No