

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 13785 of 1995 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.3.2017

Major Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition came to be filed in 1995 seeking to challenge the order dated 6th February, 1995 whereby the claim of the petitioner to be considered as a Clerk came to be rejected. The petitioner claimed that he had been discharging duties of a Clerk and, therefore, he should be accorded that status.

This writ petition was filed by the counsel, who was subsequently elected to the Bench. On 22nd July, 2016, 2nd September, 2016 and 28th November, 2016, there was no adequate representation for the petitioner and consequently the case was adjourned. Again when the matter was taken up on 8th February, 2017, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and, therefore, this Court had directed to issue notice to the petitioner for today. As per the note put up by the Registry, notice has been received back unserved on account of the fact that the petitioner has retired.

The address as available in the writ petition is through Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Barnala and there is no other residential address available on record. Therefore, the instant writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution giving liberty to the petitioner to revive the instant writ petition within a period of three months, in case still anything survive to be decided.

16.3.2017
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No