

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13358 of 1995 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 03, 2017

Rishi Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Patwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

This petition, which was admitted to regular hearing vide an order dated 18.12.1995, has been put up for motion hearing in view of the fact that respondent no.3 still remains un-served.

Mr. Patwalia, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner himself having died, there is no response to the communication addressed by his office to the address of the petitioner, as to whether the legal representatives of the petitioner wish to continue with the present petition or not.

Consequently, there is no option left but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

Since the petitioner is stated to have died and it is also not known whether his legal representatives are available or not at the address given in the memo of parties, therefore, if the legal representatives of the petitioner approach this Court for revival of this petition, any such

application for such revival would be considered on its merits.

August 03, 2017	(Amol Rattan Singh)
vcgarg	Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	no