

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Appeal S-249-SB of 2006
Date of Decision : November 30, 2017

Angrej Singh

.....Appellant

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : Mr. S.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. Deputy A.G., Punjab.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The appellant, namely, Angrej Singh alongwith his co-accused Balwinder Singh @ Bidhia, Gurmeet Singh @ Dana, Yadwinder Singh and Baldev Kumar @ Sonu was tried for committing the offences punishable under Sections 148, 364, 392, 325, 326 and 149 IPC. Vide judgment and order dated 30.1.2006, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda convicted them under Section 143 IPC and sentenced them to undergo rigorous imprisonment for six months each. They were also convicted under Section 365 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/-

each and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven days. Balwinder Singh @ Bidhia-accused was convicted under Section 367 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for five years and to pay a fine of Rs. 5,000/- and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for three months whereas the remaining accused including the appellant were convicted under Section 365 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/- each and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven days. Balwinder Singh @ Bidhia was further convicted under Section 326 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for five years and to pay a compensation of Rs.40,000/-. All the substantive sentences were ordered to run concurrently. The period already undergone by them during police custody, judicial custody and trial was ordered to be set off against their substantive sentences as provided under Section 428 Cr.P.C.

As per the custody certificate produced by the learned State counsel, the sole appellant, namely, Angrej Singh was released on 3.4.2006 after completion of actual sentence and after completion of sentence in lieu of fine.

Learned counsel for the appellant has stated that after being released from custody, the appellant has not contacted him

nor instructed him to continue the appeal.

In view of the above, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and, accordingly, disposed of.

November 30, 2017
ajay-1

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No