

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Appeal D-1403-DB of 2015
Date of Decision : November 28, 2017

Kulbir Singh

.....Appellant

VERSUS

Anil @ Bichhu

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present : Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for the respondent.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

On earlier occasions, learned counsel for the appellant was directed by this Court for impleading the State as a party respondent and also for filing application under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C. in order to seek leave to appeal against the acquittal of the accused/respondent. However, no steps in that direction have been taken by the learned counsel for the appellant so far.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that he has written to his client to come and assist in the filing of the

application for impleading the State as a party respondent and also for filing application for leave to appeal but no one has contacted him so far. Therefore, he pleads no instructions.

The appeal is, hereby, dismissed for want of instructions.

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

November 28, 2017
ajay-1

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No