

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.119

Civil Revision No. 948 of 2017
DECIDED ON: February 09, 2017

Amritsar Improvement Trust

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

Nand Lal and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil revision petition preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the setting aside of order dated September 19, 2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by the court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar, whereby an application under Order 1 Rule 2 as well as Order 7 Rule 11 read with Section 151 CPC for rejection of plaint has been declined.

2. The contention put-forth by learned counsel for the petitioner-revisionist is that a suit jointly filed by 31 persons does not lie as they have separate and independent cause of action. But, this contention of learned counsel for the petitioner does not carry any legal weight. Moreover, this cannot be ground for rejection of plaint. This Court is of the considered view that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

3. Accordingly, instant petition being devoid of merit is dismissed.

February 09, 2017
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No