

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 944 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 9.2.2017**

DEE KAY Industrial Clutches Private Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

H.S.Jatana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is directed against the impugned order dated 23.1.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned trial court.

During the course of hearing, when confronted as to how the present revision petition is maintainable against the impugned order dated 23.1.2017 (Annexure P-3), which is an appealable order, learned counsel for the petitioner had no answer and rightly so, it being a matter of record. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not one of the defendants before learned trial court and an application under Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure for getting himself impleaded is likely to be filed before learned trial court.

Civil Revision No. 944 of 2017 (O&M)

2

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, instant revision petition has been found misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance. However, petitioner is granted liberty to move an appropriate application for getting itself impleaded as defendant before learned trial court and thereafter, seek its appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

With the abovesaid observations made, present revision petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

9.2.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No