

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.927 of 2017
Date of decision:8.2.2017**

Dinesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Gram Panchayat Padla and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Nipun Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned order dated 9.1.2017 passed by the learned trial Court, allowing the application under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC, adding respondents No.2 and 3 as party defendants in the suit, plaintiff-petitioner has approached this Court by way of present revision petition for setting aside the impugned order.

After arguing the case at some length and realising that he will not be in a position to convince this court on merits, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the court to withdraw the present revision petition. However, he prays for direction to the learned trial Court for early decision of the suit.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, keeping in view the nature of controversy involved, learned trial Court is directed to decide the suit at an early date, however, strictly in accordance with law.

Disposed of, accordingly.

8.2.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No