

308

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.904 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 29.08.2017

DHARAMPAL

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAM NIWAS GHORELA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Paramjit Jakhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Himanshu Raj, Advocate for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.3, while placing on reliance of judgment of this Court '*Surinder Singh versus Mam Chand and others*,' **2015(3) PLR 753**, submits that since the impugned orders are appealable, let the petitioner be relegated to the alternative remedy of appeal.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that he may be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition, with a liberty to the petitioner to avail his alternative remedy of appeal, however, directing the respondent No.3 not to raise the plea of limitation against the petitioner as he was pursuing this revision petition before this Court under a bona fide wrong impression.

In view of the above, permission is granted, revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn, however, with liberty to the petitioner to file his appeal against the impugned orders. It is also clarified that if an appropriate appeal is filed by the petitioner within a period of 30 days from

today, respondent No.3 shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioner, as he was pursuing the present revision petition before this Court under bona fide wrong impression.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

August 29, 2017
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No