

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8981 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.12.2017

Pushpa Rani Kamboj

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Hari Bhushan and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr.Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has come up in revision against the impugned order dated 31.10.2017 (Annexure P-1) whereby, objections of the judgment debtor to assess the market rates of the property in question has been dismissed.

A perusal of the impugned order (Annexure P-1) shows that vide order dated 26.05.2017, The Court had directed to decree holder to submit the area/measurement of property, so that the Court Auctioner can proceed further and it had been observed by the Court that Court Auctioner can arrange the market value of the property. The decree-holder had filed affidavit dated 14.07.2017 giving the details of the value of the houses and shops situated at Mansa Devi Road and the properties were put to auction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is one of the judgment/debtors to participate in the auction proceedings but the reserve price which was fixed is on the higher side and he is unable to pay the same.

The argument of the counsel for the petitioner is liable to be

holder as well as judgment debtor No.1 to 4. At best the petitioner has right to participate in the auction proceedings but he cannot raise the plea that reserved price is on the higher side and he is unable to pay.

In view of the discussion above, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order dated 31.10.2017 and the present revision is dismissed as such.

20.12.2017

anil

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned- yes/no

Whether reportable- yes/no