

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.888 of 2017**

**Date of decision: 15.5.2017**

Raj Pal

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

Vish Ram

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA**

Present: Mr. Kamaldip Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*

**G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)**

The challenge made by the petitioner-tenant is to the order dated 4.1.2017 (Annexure P/7) whereby his evidence was closed by order and the case was fixed for rebuttal evidence on account of the fact that the tenant had availed 11 opportunities but the evidence was not forthcoming.

In view of the detailed order of even date passed in **Civil Revision No.8028 of 2015** between the same parties revision petition has been allowed and direction has been issued to decide the application for comparison of thumb impressions of the landlord afresh, the present revision petition is allowed and order dated 4.1.2017 (Annexure P/7) is set aside.

The Rent Controller, Chandigarh shall further proceed in view of the directions issued in the aforesaid revision petition.

**May 15, 2017**  
**Pka**

**(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No

Pardeep Kumar  
2017.05.16 10:32  
I attest to the accuracy and integrity  
of this document  
Punjab and Haryana High Court,  
Chandigarh