

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR 8871/2017

Date of decision:18.12.2017

Varun Aggarwal

.....Petitioner

v.

Jaspal Singh

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Jaswant Singh

Present:- Mr.Arpan Sabharwal,Advocate for the petitioner.

Jaswant Singh,J,(Oral).

Landlord is in revision assailing the order dated 20.9.2017 passed by the Rent Controller, Ludhiana, whereby amendment of the written statement has been allowed.

Counsel for the petitioner heard at length.

It is not in dispute that the landlord has filed a rent application seeking eviction of the tenant from the demised premises comprising a shop inter alia on the ground of personal necessity. The tenant filed an application for amendment of the written statement with the averment that after filing of the rent application the landlord has purchased another commercial property and therefore, the projected need no longer survived. The said amendment was allowed on 25.5.2016. Subsequently, instant amendment application was filed by the tenant with the averments that the purchased commercial property has since been rented out and therefore, the subsequent event required to be pleaded in the written statement. The said subsequent application has been allowed by the impugned order dated

20.9.2017.

The plea raised cannot be sustained as concededly it is subsequent events which have been permitted to be incorporated in the written statement which are necessary for proper adjudication of the trial. However, the Rent Controller,Ludhiana will try to expedite the conclusion of the trial.

Dismissed.

18.12.2017
joshi

(Jaswant Singh)
Judge

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No