

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No.8641 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision:-11.12.2017

Reena & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Gurpreet Kaur.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate for Petitioners (tenants).

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner (tenant) is in revision against the order dated 24.10.2017 whereby his application Under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for amendment of the written statement has been dismissed.

Counsel for the petitioners heard at length.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners no ground for invoking the revisional jurisdiction is made out.

It cannot be disputed that in the reply to the eviction application the petitioners (tenants) had admitted the relationship of the landlord and tenant and accordingly the provisional assessment of arrears of rent was ordered to be paid by stipulated time. It is conceded that the payment of arrears of rent in compliance of such provisional assessment was duly paid.

It is at the stage of the evidence of the tenant, the amendment of the written

landlord and tenant between the parties. The ground for the previous mistake is stated to be misrepresentation by the earlier counsel. The same is not acceptable as the petitioners had duly signed the reply and also paid the arrears of provisional assessed rent based on the admission of such relationship.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present revision, the same is hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

December 11, 2017
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>