

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

148

CR No.8597 of 2017
Date of decision: 08.12.2017

Neena Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Upender Sharma

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is in revision petition against the order dated 03.11.2017 passed by the trial Court. Learned trial Court interacted with the children whose custody has been sought by the petitioner. The learned trial Court has recorded as under:-

“It is also pertinent to mention here that the Court had a dialogue with the minor children in the Chamber and the children have also expressed anxiety with regard to the behaviour of their mother and both the children have informed the Court that they were not provided meals on time by their mother and she had been neglecting their studies also. The children also informed the Court that their mother would take them to the part and ask them to make a pose, so that she could take their photographs and despite their insistence she would not bring them back home on time. The children vehemently refused to join the company of their mother.”

After interaction with the children, the Court passed the order that the interim custody cannot be given to the petitioner.

This Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the order passed by the trial Court. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner may be given additional visitation rights and she should be permitted to meet the child at a public place.

Taking into consideration the request made, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the trial Court which shall be disposed of by the Court without being prejudice by the order already passed.

Revision petition is disposed of.

08.12.2017
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No