

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8579 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.12.2017

Laxman Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Suryakant Gautam, Advocate for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The defendant-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the trial Court refusing the permission to lead additional evidence, so as to produce on file copy of the reply and the postal receipt dated 27.01.2012.

It is not disputed that both these documents are part of the pleadings and the plaintiff has based his cause of action on basis thereof.

In these circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that validity of these documents is not in dispute and foundation has already been laid in pleadings. Hence, these documents are required to be permitted to produce in additional evidence.

In view of the above, application for leading additional evidence is allowed, subject to the payment of costs of ₹5,000/- while setting aside the impugned order.

Revision petition is allowed.

22.12.2017

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No