

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8506 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Order: 07.11.2017

Jasmine and another

..Petitioners

Versus

Chanchal Brar and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S.Bajaj, Advocate,
for respondents no.1 and 2.

Mr. P.P.S.Thethi, Advocate,
for respondent no.3.

None for respondent no.4.

Mr. Snehdip Oberoy, Advocate, for
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate,
for respondent no.5.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners wanted to produce on record a copy of the application for amendment of the plaint. He submits that on the basis of this application, the suit filed by the plaintiffs is not maintainable.

Since, this application was not part of the record before the Court and is a subsequent development, therefore the petitioners would be well advised to file a proper application before the Court taking up all possible objections.

In exercise of revisional jurisdiction, this Court cannot look

into the facts which were not available before the Court while passing the impugned order.

In these circumstances, the revision petition is permitted to be withdrawn. The petitioners would be at liberty to move fresh application in accordance with law.

The Court would decide the application without being influenced by the observations made in the impugned order.

November 07, 2017
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No