

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8372 of 2017
Date of decision: 30.11.2017

Pritam Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Pali Ram and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 11.08.2017 (Annexure P2), rendered by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Pehowa, vide which the Court declined to order status quo, at that stage, and had posted the matter for 08.09.2017, to enable the respondent-defendant to file the written statement as also the reply to the injunction application.

The limited grievance that the petitioners have is that although their application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, for ad interim injunction, is pending for a considerable time, but no decision has been made thereupon. And the matter is being adjourned for filing of the written statement by the respondent.

If that be so, the petitioners ought to have moved the said Court itself, as regards their grievance, in the first instance. Thus, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioners to move the Trial Court, for expeditious disposal of their injunction application. And, this

Court is sanguine that if any such application/prayer is made, the same shall be considered judiciously and the Court shall take appropriate measures in this regard.

November 30, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO