

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 8722 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.07.2017**

Sanjiv Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Inderjeet Kaur @ Inderjeet Gurbachan Singh Bedi

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-tenant is in revision against the concurrent findings recorded by the learned Rent Controller, Dhuri on 01.08.2014, whereby he has been evicted from the demised premises on the ground of personal necessity of respondent-landlady.

While issuing notice of motion on 02.02.2017, the following order was passed:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a tenant in the shop in question much before the purchase of the shop by the respondent-landlady. Therefore, in order to have some alternative site, he certainly requires some reasonable time. She further states that the petitioner undertakes to vacate the premises within 9 months i.e. on or before 31.10.2017. The petitioner is not in arrears of rent.

Notice of motion, limited to the aforesaid extent, for 22.05.2017.

Meanwhile, dispossession of the petitioner from the shop in dispute shall remain stayed. However, the petitioner shall continue to pay the agreed rent of the shop in dispute to the respondent-landlady on or before the 7 th day of each calendar month in advance.”

As per report of the Registry, notice could not be issued to the respondent due to non-filing of process fee.

Neither anyone has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner to argue the case nor any request for pass over has been made.

Petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

The respondent-landlady is free to seek the execution of decree in accordance with law.

July 17, 2017

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No