

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Civil Revision No.8720 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 22, 2017

Bakhshish Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurmit Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Advocate for the respondent.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Present revision petition is directed against the order dated 11.12.2015, passed by the court below, whereby cross-examination of respondent Gurmit Kaur has been allowed to be completed.

It has been urged before the court by learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondent wishes to fill up lacunae in her case which cannot be allowed at the fag end of the trial.

Counsel for respondent, however, submits that Gurmit Kaur was partially cross-examined earlier. In case her cross-examination is allowed to be completed, no prejudice will be caused to the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears, respondent Gurmit Kaur filed eviction petition against petitioner Bakhshish Singh. At the initial stage, respondent was examined-in-chief. She tendered her affidavit. Thereafter, she was partially

cross-examined. Due to some inadvertence, cross-examination could not be completed. Trial court entertained instant application and allowed the cross-examination to be completed. I find no infirmity with the order. It is directed that trial court shall allow cross-examination of Gurmit Kaur, which was deferred, to be completed as per law, ensuring that lacunae in the case are not to be filled. The case, which is nearing culmination, shall finally disposed of.

Disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

March 22, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No