

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No. 8645 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 17.01.2017**

Renu Satia

....Petitioner

vs.

Punjab National Bank

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ved Parkash Sehtiya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, while relying upon judgment of Hon'ble the Apex Court in case **State Bank of Patiala vs. Mukesh Jain and another**, in Civil Appeal No. 210 of 2007 decided on 8.11.2016 and judgment of Orissa High Court rendered in **Krishan Kumar Pareek vs. State Bank of India and others**, 2009 (4) BC 18, *inter alia*, submits that the Civil Court has no jurisdiction to entertain the execution proceedings in case the amount is more than ten lacs.

The petitioner could have approached the Civil Court by way of raising such objections but the present revision petition has been filed in this Court without raising such objection there. Admittedly, no such objection has been raised before the Civil Court/Executing Court. Without availing the remedy before the Civil Court or before the Executing Court, the present revision petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw this

petition with liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the said liberty.

January 17, 2017
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No