

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Civil Revision No. 8283 of 2017
Date of decision: 28.11.2017

Akal Sales Private Limited

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Marip Automotive Co and another

..... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Kartikeya S Mehta, Advocate and
Mr. B K Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition has been directed against order dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure P5) passed by the Additional District Judge, Ludhiana whereby application for additional evidence has been ordered to be taken up for arguments along with arguments in the main case.

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that application for additional evidence is required to be decided by the Court below before hearing arguments in the main case so that in case, plea of the petitioner for additional evidence does not find favour with the trial Court, the petitioner gets opportunity to avail appropriate remedy against the order that may be passed by the trial Court.

The contention raised by the petitioner is well founded. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to decide the application for additional evidence before proceeding to hear the arguments in the main case.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

28.11.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No