

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8554 of 2016
Date of Decision:10.03.201

NARESH BHATOTIAPETITIONER
Vs.

**MANAGEMENT BOARD OF ANSAL INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY/UNIVERSITY & ANR**
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Rachit Kaushal, Advocate for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Present revision petition, at the hands of plaintiff-petitioner, is directed against the order dated 12.12.2016 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Gurgaon, whereby application for additional evidence moved by the defendants-respondents was allowed.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned counsel for the respondents has put in appearance and made a statement that he has got clear instructions to say that respondents-defendants do not intend to lead any additional evidence consequent upon the impugned order dated 12.12.2016. He further submits that let the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let the present petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

10.03.2017
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No