

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 114

CR-8143-2017 (O&M)

Decided on : 30.11.2017

Som Dutt Sharma (since deceased) through LRs

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

Atma Charan and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Sanjay Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

On 21.11.2017 after arguing the matter at length but having received no favourable response from the Bench, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that he did not wish to pursue the present petition on merits. However, six months' time was sought to vacate the tenanted premises to make alternate arrangements.

On consideration of the above submission, notice had been issued to the respondents in pursuance of which Mr.Shiv Kumar, Advocate, has put in appearance. He submits that before the order dated 21.11.2017 could be served upon the respondents, possession of the tenanted premises was taken by them in execution proceedings.

In view of the above, the revision petition is ordered to be dismissed as not pressed. So far as the prayer for retention of the tenanted premises to make alternate arrangements is concerned, the same has been rendered infructuous warranting no interference.

30.11.2017

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No