

345

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10519 of 1996 (O/M)
Date of decision : 2.5.2017

Chiranji Lal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the pension of the petitioner has been re-fixed, therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

2.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No