

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.7949 of 2017

Date of decision: 11.12.2017

Ranjan Vohra

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ritu Vohra

.... Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Rohit Suri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeshwar Singh Thakur, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the revision petition can be disposed of by ordering conditional warrant of arrest to be set aside subject to the objections filed by the JD-petitioner before the learned Executing Court being directed to be decided in accordance with the mandate of Order 21 Rule 23 (2) of the CPC within a period of four weeks from today and thereafter, if the circumstances of the case so warrant, to take action on the basis of application moved under Order 21 Rule 37 of the CPC.

2. Statement of learned counsel for the parties is taken on record.

3. Impugned warrant of arrest is set aside.

4. Learned trial Court shall decide the objections filed by JD-petitioner in accordance with the provisions of the rules applicable, within a period of four weeks from today and thereafter, if the

circumstances of the case so warrant consider the application of the DH-respondent under Order 21 Rule 37 of the CPC and take action as warranted as per law.

5. With the aforementioned directions, revision petition stands disposed of.

11.12.2017
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Note:

1. Whether the order is speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether the order is reportable: Yes/No.