

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No. 769 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.10.2017

Manoj Verma

...Petitioner

versus

Chander Mohan

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA.

Present : Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

In the petition filed by the tenant, first prayer was rightly rejected, but, the second prayer has remained undecided on the issue of consolidation of the three applications and that is the grievance expressed in this petition. When this matter came up for hearing on 03.02.2017, a co-ordinate Bench passed the following order:

“Inter alia restricted his prayer to the extent that in Annexure P-6 the prayer had been made to consolidate all the three eviction applications pending in the same Court. It is, accordingly, submitted that this fact had not been dealt with in the impugned order dated 19.07.2016 (Annexure P-8).

Notice of motion on the said limited aspect for 28.03.2017.”

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to the

three rent applications pending before the Rent Controller, Bathinda should be consolidated to be taken up one after the other on the same date of hearing.

I have been informed that the three eviction petitions are limited to the ground of non-payment of rent and, therefore, the dispute is common in the three cases, but, relating only to different periods of time during the subsistence of the tenancy. Accordingly, the learned District Judge, Bathinda would cause the consolidation of the three rent petitions to be taken up together on the same date till they are decided independently or by common order, as the case may be, and as convenient to the Court.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

October 09, 2017

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No