

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7683 of 2017
Date of Decision:7.11.2017**

Basheer

...Petitioner

Versus

Ishrat and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Plaintiff-petitioner is in revision petition against the order, dismissing the application for framing of additional issues.

Learned trial court has dismissed the application after noticing that originally issues were framed on 28.10.2014. Thereafter the plaintiff filed an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for adding defendants No.2 and 3 as party defendants. The aforesaid application was allowed. The court again framed the issues thereafter on 3.12.2015. Plaintiff-petitioner has availed 16 opportunities to lead his evidence including three opportunities with costs. The evidence of the plaintiff was closed by the order of the court on 6.12.2016. Once again another application was moved for framing of additional issues which was allowed on 19.4.2017 and additional issue was framed.

Now when the case is at the stage of rebuttal and final arguments, plaintiff moved the present application. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts, learned trial court dismissed the application. Although, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that these issues are necessary, however, taking into consideration the conduct

of the petitioner-plaintiff, who has been trying to stall/delay the trial of the suit, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the impugned order passed by the court below.

Civil Revision petition stands dismissed.

7.11.2017
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No