

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

248

CR-7974-2015

Date of Decision:31.08.2017

Harbhajan Singh Lally

.....Petitioner

Versus

Joginder Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK.

Present: Ms.Manpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for Mr.Harsh Bunker, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.(Oral)

Notice of motion was issued in this case as far back as on 28.11.2015. Thereafter, when service on the respondents could not be effected, following order was passed by this Court on 09.02.2016:-

“Notice sent to the respondents received back with the report that they have gone out of country.

Fresh notice to the respondents be issued, after counsel for the petitioner file their latest address, returnable for 07.07.2016.

Service of respondents No.1 to 3, be got effected through counsel representing them before the trial Court.”

Abovesaid order dated 09.02.2016 was not complied with by learned counsel for the petitioner and the following order was passed on 08.07.2016:-

“Notice to respondents No.1 to 3 could not be issued as counsel for the petitioner did not comply with the order

dated 09.02.2016.

Necessary compliance be made within 15 days and then notice to respondents No.1 to 3 be issued for 17.10.2016.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner again sought more time, which was granted to him as last opportunity by passing the following order dated 17.10.2016:-

“Counsel for the petitioner prays for some more time to comply with the order dated 09.02.2016.

Last opportunity is provided to do the needful within a period of 15 days, failing which the petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

On necessary compliance being made, notice to respondents No.1 to 3 be issued for 02.05.2017.”

On the next date of hearing i.e. 02.05.2017, none came present on behalf of the petitioner and in the interest of justice, case was adjourned for today.

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner seeks yet another adjournment which has not been found justified. None of the abovesaid orders passed by this Court have been complied with by the learned counsel for the petitioner so far, despite the lapse of long period of more than 1½ years.

In view of the above and keeping in view the abovesaid order dated 17.10.2016 passed by this Court, instant revision petition is dismissed, as not pressed.

August 31, 2017
seema

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No