

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.7532 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.11.2017

G.C. Arora and others

... Petitioners.

Versus

Royal Mansion Peer Muchhalla and another.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Raman K. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL).

An application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC filed by the petitioners-defendants has been dismissed by the learned trial Court.

The petitioners claim that the suit filed by the plaintiffs is barred by law and that the plaintiffs have no cause of action against the petitioners.

Learned trial Court has considered the application and passed a detailed order considering each aspect. Head note of the plaint reads as under: –

Suit for Recovery of un-liquidize damages on account of defamation suffered by plaintiffs.

AND

Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendants to hand over the maintenance charge, to the plaintiff.

AND

Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to submit the Account Statement in regard to maintenance of Resident Welfare Association, Royal Mansion, Peer Muchhalla, to the plaintiff w.e.f. 17.03.2016 to till date.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has vehemently reiterated what was submitted before the learned trial Court.

I have gone through the order passed by the learned trial Court. The order is well reasoned and this Court does not find that learned trial Court has committed any error of law while considering the application.

The revision petition is dismissed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

02.11.2017
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No