IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRA-S-185-SBA-2007
Date of decision :18.08.2017

U.T. Chandigarh

..... Appellant

Versus

Naveen Kumar ..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

***

Present : Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Addl. P.P. Chandigarh
for the appellant.

Mr. P.K. Kukreja, advocate
for the respondent.

***

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Complainant-R.K. Gupta has come present and admits the factum of compromise having been taken place between him and the respondents stating that he has received the money due to him from the respondent. He has been duly identified by Mr. P.K. Kukreja, Advocate.

Hearing such statement of complainant Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate appearing for the U.T. Chandigarh submits that since the appeal has become infructuous he be allowed to withdraw the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

18.08.2017
Gaurav Sorot

1. Whether reportable? **No**

2. Whether speaking / reasoned? **Yes**

Gaurav Sorot
2017.08.18 06:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document