

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7557 of 2014  
Date of decision :28.09.2017

Narinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurbax Singh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivam Grover, Advocate for  
Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the respondents.

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)**

**CM No.9272-CII-2017**

Application is for restoration of the revision petition, which was dismissed for non-prosecution. Application is supported by an affidavit.

Application is allowed and the revision petition is restored to its original number.

**Main Case**

Plaintiff is in revision petition against order dismissing the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for permission to amend the plaint.

Plaintiff had filed a suit for mandatory injunction against her in-laws directing them to hand over various items containing certain gold ornaments. Plaintiff concluded her evidence and, thereafter, she filed an application under Order 6 Rule 17 stating that certain items of the *Istridhan* have not been mentioned in the plaint.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already led evidence with regard to the aforesaid items.

In my considered opinion, the pleadings sought to be added are in the nature of evidence, which is not required to be pleaded. The pleadings have to be concise as provided under Order 6 Rule 2 CPC. Still further, trial has already started. As per proviso to Order 6 Rule 17 CPC, normally the amendment of the pleadings cannot be permitted after the commencement of the trial.

In view thereof, I do not find any good ground to interfere with the order passed by the trial Court.

Accordingly, this revision petition is dismissed.

28.09.2017  
*sheetal*

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes  
Whether Reportable : No