

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7556 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision:08.05.2017

RAVINDER KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

HAWA SINGH AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 10.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that Pardeep and Phool Kumar, sons of Partap Singh who were earlier minor and were being represented by Smt. Kela Devi, their mother, have since attained the age of majority and are competent/capable enough to take care of their own interest as well as to watch interest of their mother-Smt.Kela Devi relating to whom the petitioner had filed an application under Order 32 Rule 15 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure. In view of changed circumstances the instant revision petition has become infructuous and be disposed of as such.

The revision petition is disposed of as infructuous as submitted by learned senior counsel.

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

May 08, 2017

rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No