

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7426 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.10.2017**

Viveksheel

... Petitioner

Versus

Anuradha

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL).

The husband is in revision petition against the order passed by the Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, fixing maintenance pendente lite at the rate of Rs.4000/- pm for the wife and Rs.2000/- pm for the child.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that the total salary of the petitioner is Rs.9250/- pm and his carry home is Rs.8174/- pm. The salary slips attached by the petitioner are from a private limited company. The petitioner is shown to have been employed as Sales Executive. The petitioner is an able bodied person and for survival of the wife and child, Rs.6000/- pm is the minimum amount.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact, there is no ground to interfere with the order passed by the Court below.

The revision petition is dismissed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

30.10.2017
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No