

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CR No. 7409 of 2017
Date of decision :30.10.2017

Hardev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Plaintiff-petitioner is in revision petition against order dated 09.09.2017. Learned Court has dismissed three applications filed by the plaintiff (i) for admission and denial of certain documents (ii) for directing defendant No.1 to produce bank account statement for certain period and (iii) directing City Bank to give certified copy of the statement of account.

It is not in dispute that the plaintiff-petitioner had closed his evidence on 22.11.2015. Thereafter, defendants started their evidence and when one of the witnesses of the defendant i.e. defendant No.3 was being cross-examined, these three applications were filed. The Court has dismissed all the three applications after considering the facts of the case in detail. Court has noticed that onus to prove that the plaintiff is in arrears of certain amount was on the plaintiff. Plaintiff has already led his evidence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not show any error in exercise of jurisdiction or any perversity in the order passed by the learned trial Court.

For the reasons recorded above, the present revision petition filed by the petitioner is dismissed.

30.10.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE