

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7306 of 2017

Date of Decision: 27.11.2017

TEJA SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

RANDHIR SINGH AND ANOTHER

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sonpreet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

[1]. Certified copies of the statements, Panchayatnama dated 10.07.2017 executed before the Police and C.D. Conversations of various dates between plaintiff, defendants, attesting witnesses and police officers were sought to be adduced by way of additional evidence before the trial Court.

[2]. The said prayer was declined by the trial Court on the ground that evidence of the plaintiff was closed after affording twenty five opportunities in the month of May, 2017.

[3]. The defendants claimed these documents to be forged. No such statement was recorded nor any such Panchayatnama was executed between the parties showing any compromise. The police got the signatures on blank papers. No manuscript of the alleged conversations arising out of C.D. Was

filed before the trial Court.

[4]. The additional evidence was proved to be beyond the pleadings made in the plaint.

[5]. It is a settled principle of law that if there is no foundation in the pleadings, no amount of evidence can be looked into.

[6]. No application for amendment in the plaint was moved by the plaintiff before the trial Court.

[7]. No such indulgence can be granted in this revision petition which is totally devoid of merits.

[8]. This revision petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

[9]. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a liberty be granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application before the trial Court. In case, any such application is moved before the trial Court, the same shall be decided in accordance with law.

27.11.2017
jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No