

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

**CR No.7631 of 2016
Date of decision: 16.11.2017**

Jaskaran Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Balour Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Plaintiff-petitioner is in revision petition against the order dismissing the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2, CPC. In fact, the petitioner is wanting that the suit should be decreed at the interim stage which would not be proper in the facts of the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that once the defendant had admitted the existence of the passage, then the Court should have directed the defendants to provide the passage. Both the Courts have considered this fact and dismissed the application.

Taking into consideration that the dispute is only with regard to the passage and the suit is pending for the last more than two years, the trial Court is requested to decide the suit within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Hence, the revision petition is disposed of.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

16.11.2017
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No