

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

138

CR No.7195 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:15.12.2017

Jai Parkash and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Maman and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Petitioners are in the revision petition against the order dated 30.08.2017, dismissing his application for being added as a party defendant in the suit.

Learned trial Court dismissed the application on the ground that the applicants/petitioners failed to produce any document to show that plot No.50 (suit property) was allotted to Khem Chand. The Court has held that photocopy of the allotment letter has been given, however, no plot number has been mentioned in the allotment letter.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. I have also seen the allotment letter issued in favour of the plaintiff. Even that the allotment letter in favour of the plaintiff does not contain any plot number. It appears that Gram Panchayat while allotting plots did not allocate the plot numbers.

Prima facie the predecessor of the petitioners was allotted a plot.

Taking into consideration these facts, the order under challenge cannot be sustained.

Revision petition is allowed.

Petitioners-applicants are added as defendants in the case.

15.12.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No