

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7185 of 2017 (O & M)

Date of decision :13.10.2017

Parshotam Saini through his LRs

...Petitioners

Versus

Ram Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are in revision petition against the order passed by the learned First Appellate Court under Order 33 Rule 1 CPC.

Petitioners had filed the first appeal along with an application for permission to file the appeal as a pauper.

Learned First Appellate Court after framing the issues allowing the parties to lead evidence. One of the petitioner i.e. petitioner No.2-Geet Saini had appeared in the witness-box. He had admitted that he is in service at a Chemist shop drawing a monthly salary of Rs.8,000/-. It is further admitted by him that he owns a TV, Refrigerator, Cooler and Gas Connection. He has further admitted that he maintains a bank account in Punjab National Bank, Patiala.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts, the learned First Appellate Court has chosen to dismiss the application.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners at length.

Learned counsel for the petitioners could not dispute the findings recorded by the learned First Appellate Court. Learned counsel for

the petitioners has read over to me the statement of Shri Geet Saini, who has specifically admitted the facts as noticed above.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts, I do not find any good ground to interfere with the order passed by the Court.

Hence, this revision petition is dismissed.

However, on the request of learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are granted further two months time to deposit the amount of Court fee.

13.10.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable : No