

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7529 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.10.2017

Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

Prem and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The Decree Holder is in revision petition against the order passed by the Executing Court, which reads as under:-

“As per report of Ahlmad warrants of possession has been delivered. Accordingly, matter has been fully satisfied, as such, present petitioner is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn being fully satisfied. File be consigned to the record room.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that in fact the decree had not been complied with and the execution is not fully satisfied.

Since, no such grievance was made before the Executing Court, therefore, Decree Holder would be at liberty to move an application before

the Executing Court for bringing its notice that the decree has not been satisfied.

If such an application is moved, the Executing Court would adjudicate upon the same in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

11.10.2017

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No