

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 3429 of 1986 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.3.2017

Ram Nath (since deceased) through LRs

...Appellants

Versus

Maharaj Krishan (since deceased) through LRs and others

...Respondents

2.

RSA No. 3587 of 1986 (O&M)

Ram Nath (since deceased) through LRs

...Appellants

Versus

Om Parkash (since deceased) through LRs and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Amandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Parneet Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that during the pendency of these two identical appeals bearing RSA Nos.3429 and 3587 of 1986, parties have already arrived at an amicable settlement by way of written compromise dated 23.1.2017, which has already been placed on record as Annexure A by way of CM-2374-C-2017, which was allowed vide order dated 23.2.2017.

RSA No. 3429 of 1986 (O&M)
RSA No. 3587 of 1986 (O&M)

2

Learned counsel for the parties jointly pray for disposal of both these appeals in terms of compromise dated 23.1.2017 (Annexure A).

In view of the abovesaid common stand taken by learned counsel for the parties, both these appeals are disposed of in terms of the compromise (Annexure A). It is directed that compromise dated 23.1.2017 (Annexure A) shall form part of the decree in both these cases. It goes without saying that parties to the compromise shall remain bound by the terms and conditions thereof.

With the abovesaid observations made, both these appeals stand disposed of, however, with no order as to costs.

14.3.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No