

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 10.10.2017****CR No.7037 of 2017**

Rattan Singh Bajwa

...Petitioner

Vs.

Amandeep Kaur Dhindsa

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Thiara, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

1. At the very outset, Mr. Thiara has pointed out a patent discrepancy in the impugned order by pointing out to the contents of paragraph 4 and elsewhere in the written statement filed on behalf of the respondent/tenant in the eviction petition by the respondent, where the tenant admits that in the month of May, 2013, the rent was enhanced from ₹2000/- to ₹2500/- per month.

2. As per her version she was initially inducted as a tenant and put in possession of two rooms with independent kitchen, a toilet and a bathroom in the demised premises in the year 2008 on monthly rent of ₹2000/-. In May, 2013, she surrendered one room to the landlord and restricted her possession to one room, one kitchen, one bathroom and one toilet. Thereafter, the rent stood increased to ₹2500/- per month.

3. In view of this admission by the tenant, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Rent Controller, Chandigarh to take a look at the contents of Para.4 of the written statement filed by the

tenant and examine; whether his order dated 01.02.2017 deserves to be revised in case there has been an oversight since he has assessed rent at the rate of ₹2000/- per month. The learned Rent Controller will have full authority and the freedom by virtue of this order to review his order and pass a fresh one on remand consistent with the pleadings and in accordance with rent laws and then proceed with the remaining trial.

10.10.2017
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>