

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7228-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.2.2017
...Petitioner

Jagdish Singh

Versus

Sarabjit Kaur and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.B.S.Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.S.K.Bawa, Advocate for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned order dated 23.7.2015 passed by the learned Matrimonial Court under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, granting maintenance *pendente lite*, petitioner-husband has approached this Court by way of present civil revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned order (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion was issued.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It has gone undisputed before this Court that respondent-wife along with her minor child was having no regular source of income. Relationship between the parties is not in dispute. Petitioner was earlier serving in the army. He was convicted by the learned court of competent jurisdiction in a criminal trial for the offences under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC. Learned counsel for the petitioner has apprised the Court that petitioner-husband has been released from the jail after serving his sentence. Having said that, this Court feels no hesitation to conclude that the impugned order does not suffer from any patent illegality and the same deserves to be upheld.

The learned court below has granted only Rs.3,000/- to the wife and Rs.1000/- to the child as maintenance *pendente lite*. In these days of sky rocketing prices, no amount less than of Rs.4,000/- for two persons can be said to be reasonable amount. This amount of Rs.4,000/- would be required for meeting out the bare necessities of daily life for two persons. Before passing the impugned order, learned court below has considered each and every relevant aspect of the matter. The impugned order has been found based on sound reasons and the same deserves to be upheld, for this reason also.

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that the instant civil revision petition is wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the present civil revision petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

28.2.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE