

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6804 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.10.2017

Sucha Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Ran Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this revision petition against the order passed by the First Appellate Court dated 05.08.2017 allowing the application for condonation of delay of 452 days in filing the appeal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has vehemently contended that the order passed by the First Appellate Court is non-speaking and does not give sufficient reason for condonation of delay.

I have considered the submission. No doubt, the order is non-speaking order, however, while condoning the delay, the First Appellate Court is not required to write a detailed judgment.

In any case, I have seen the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal. It has been asserted by the appellants before the First Appellate Court that the appellants were transposed as plaintiff Nos.2 and 3. When the learned trial Court pronounced the judgment, it was

pronounced as decreed. The appellants bonafidely believed that the suit has been decreed in total even qua plaintiff Nos.2 and 3 and hence also did not apply for copy of the same. Later on they came to know that in fact suit has been decreed only qua plaintiff No.1.

In my considered opinion, the appellants before the First Appellate Court have given sufficient reason for seeking condonation of delay.

In view thereof, I do not find any good ground to interfere with the order passed by the First Appellate Court.

Revision petition is dismissed.

03.10.2017

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No