

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7170 of 2015

Date of Decision:29.9.2017

Neelam Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Boota Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Nemo.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 14.9.2015, whereby application for interim custody of the minor child was disposed of by the learned court below on certain terms recorded in the impugned order, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant civil revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned order.

Notice of motion was issued.

None came present on behalf of the petitioner either on 23.7.2016, 26.10.2016 and 11.5.2017. Similar is the position today. Neither anybody has come present to press this revision petition nor any request for pass over has been made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, it seems that in view of the nature of impugned order and also the controversy involved between the parties, present civil revision petition does not survive any further and the same is disposed of, accordingly. However, since nobody has come present on behalf of the petitioner, liberty is granted to him to get the instant civil revision petition revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

29.9.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No