

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6773 of 2017
Date of decision :09.10.2017

Hari Devan ...Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Shankar and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Application filed by the petitioner for transfer of the case from a particular Court to another Court was dismissed by the learned District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali vide order dated 13.09.2017. Learned District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali has given detailed reasons for dismissal of the application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that once the application has been filed alleging bias against the Presiding Officer, their position would be very precarious.

In the considered opinion of the Court, the apprehension is wholly without any basis. Presiding Officer of a Court is to decide the case on the basis of evidence available on the file, while taking into consideration merits and de-merits of the case. The trial judge is required to be above these petty things.

I have no doubt, that the learned Civil Judge would decide the case without being prejudiced by the allegations made in the application.

For the reasons recorded above, the revision petition is dismissed.

09.10.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE