

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7155 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision:24.03.2017

NARINDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Chander, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that the main
appeal has since been decided and the instant petition has become
infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the said
fact.

In view of the above, the instant petition is dismissed as
having become infructuous.

March 24, 2017
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No