

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6744 of 2017

Date of decision: 29.09.2017

Ram Chander and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Gram Panchayat and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present : Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**Avneesh Jhingan, J.**

The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 22.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Narnaul and order dated 04.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Mahendergarh, whereby application under Order 39, Rule 1 & 2 in the suit for permanent injunction filed by the petitioners was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing the civil revision for sufficient time, ultimately argued that he is not pressing the present civil revision. But his only concern is that the observations made by both the learned Courts below while dismissing the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 should not affect the main suit.

The civil revision is dismissed as not pressed. However, it is clarified that no such observation made by both the learned Courts below

while dismissing the application would be taken as an opinion expressed on merits.

The present revision petition stands disposed of.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**29.09.2017**

anju

1. Whether the order is speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether the order is reportable : Yes/No